

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed July 17, 2003 (hereinafter "the Office Action") recites that the "Applicant's arguments filed April 28, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive" and then specifically considers those arguments. Although applicant and counsel for applicant respectfully disagree with the reasoning set forth in the Office Action as to why applicant's previous arguments are not persuasive, applicant has cancelled claims 1-51 without prejudice in favor of accepting the allowance of claims 52-80 as set forth in the Office Action at Paragraph 12. It is noted for the record that the rejections of claims 1-51 under 35 U.S.C. §103 are identical to those rejections set forth in the Office Action dated February 5, 2003, whereby applicant's previous arguments are equally applicable to the rejections, although now moot.

The Office Action objected to claims 52, 53, 55, 57, 59, 69, 70 and 71 on the basis that the word "telephonically" should have been "telephonically", which amendment has been made to each of those claims, whereby this objection has been overcome.

Dependent claims 77-80 have been amended to delete the dependency on now cancelled claims 1, 21 and 51. Thus, it is believed that allowable claims 52-80 no longer include any informalities.

The Office Action, Paragraph 12, indicates that claims 52-80 would be allowable if a terminal disclaimer is filed to overcome the double patenting rejection and such TERMINAL DISCLAIMER is presented herewith. Thus, it is believed claims 52-80 is in complete condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Also enclosed herewith is a STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §3.73(b) with a copy of the Assignment from the inventor, Ki Il Kim, to Minerva Industries, Inc. An Assignment of U.S. Patent No. 6,278,884 to Minerva Industries, Inc. was forwarded to the PTO on June 6, 2003 for recordation but a notice of the Reel and Frame has not yet been received.

By this Amendment After Final, applicant proposes adding dependent claims 81-86 that depend from two or more of the allowable claims 52-80, whereby it is believed that each of these claims is allowable for the same reasons that the claims from which they depend are allowable as well as the fact that each claim adds a limitation. Claim 81 is similar to allowable claim 78 but deletes the requirement of a "means for selectively storing sounds from said FM radio". Claims 82-84 add "a separate switch" for operating the microphone or the camera to store sounds or images on the integral memory, which switching arrangement is disclosed in the subject application at page 5, line 3 and page 6, lines 1-8 with respect to memory 116 and as key 20 in applicant's parent patent 6,278,884 at column 3, lines 52-56. Claims 85 and 86 are substantially the same as now cancelled claims 46 and 47, respectively, and add the Global Positioning System to the device as

originally disclosed and claimed. Thus, it is believed that new dependent claims 81-86 are fully supported and allowable, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI LLP

Dated: Aug. 7, '03

By: Conrad R. Solum, Jr.
Conrad R. Solum, Jr.
Reg. No. 20,467

865 South Figueroa Street, 29th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017
(213) 892-9200